

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/900,808	07/06/2001	Franky Lee Shacklee	004578.1132	8614
75	90 03/21/2002			
Luke K. Pedersen, Esq. Baker Botts L.L.P. 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600			EXAMINER	
			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
Dallas, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/900,808	SHACKLEE, FRANKY LEE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_	Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	· ·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 21-42 is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-23 and 38-42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-26 and 28-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Note	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9				

Application/Control Number: 09/900,808

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 21-23 and 38-42 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 36 recites the limitation "the mechanical fastener" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 24, 30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischer et al. (Fischer).

Fischer discloses a weapon system comprising a pressure vessel, the pressure vessel comprises an interior compartment and an access opening at one end which is removably closed by an end cap having hooks (19) which latch within slots (20) to provide a mechanical fastener. The vessel includes rectangular stacking lugs (3) best shown in fig. 2, the lugs have a cylindrical interior so that the stacking lug extends 360 degrees around the perimeter of the storage vessel.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,808

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of Rocher.

Fischer discloses the invention except for the backing rings which contact the first and second flanges. Rocher teaches backing ring (19) which backs the first flange on the storage vessel (11) and also the second flange on the end cap (16). It would have been obvious to add the backing ring in order to make the sealed closure joint more secure to prevent inadvertent or unauthorized opening of the pressure vessel.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of LaBianca et al. (LaBianca).

Fischer discloses the invention except for the material of the stacking lug and storage vessel is not specified. LaBianca teaches stacking lug and pressure vessel materials made of high density polyethylene (see col. 10, lines 1-4). It would have been obvious to make the stacking lug and pressure vessel of Fischer from high density polyethylene in order to easily form the lug or storage vessel in a single forming operation.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of LaBianca and Snyder et al. ('733)(Snyder).

Fischer discloses the invention except for the material of the stacking lug is not specified.

LaBianca teaches stacking lug materials made of high density polyethylene (see col. 10, lines 1-

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/900,808

Art Unit: 3727

4). It would have been obvious to make the stacking lug of Fischer from high density polyethylene in order to easily form the lug or storage vessel in a single forming operation. Snyder teaches a support for a vessel made from rotationally molded cross-linked high density polyethylene. It would have been further obvious to make the stacking lug from rotationally molded cross-linked high density polyethylene in order to provide weatherability, impact resistance and stress cracking resistance.

Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 703-308-1035. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

/

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

S. Castellano Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

sjc March 19, 2002

(